

The Brick Wall

1. (a) We must first examine how much budgeted work remains. The total was \$10,000 and we have earned \$4,500 through day 3. This means that \$5,500 of work remains.

(b) Next we must decide what future performance is likely to be on this remaining work. Material costs are fixed and will not change. There is \$3,500 of remaining material costs (3.5 feet of wall). Labor costs, however, must be evaluated. To date, we have earned \$2,000 worth of labor while expending \$3,000. One way to generate an EAC would be to assume that this level of performance would continue in the future. There is a remaining Labor effort valued at \$2,000 in the budget. Since it is requiring \$1.50 of actual cost for every \$1.00 earned, we could estimate that the remaining \$2,000 would cost $\$2,000 \times 1.50 = \$3,000$.

(c) The final step is to add this projected cost to the cost already experienced to date. This can be expressed as a formula like this: $EAC = \text{Actual cost to date} + ETC$, where ETC is the Estimated Cost to Complete the remaining work. Using this approach, we would estimate a total cost at completion of $\$5,500 + \$3,500 \text{ (material)} + \$3,000 \text{ (labor)} = \$12,000$. This would result in an overrun of \$2,000, twice the overrun experienced to date.

Another option would be to recognize that day 3 was an aberration because of weather. At the end of day 2 the project was precisely on schedule and on budget. So we could assume that the remaining \$3,500 of budgeted material and \$2,000 of budgeted labor work will cost exactly \$5,500. Since $EAC = \text{Actual to Date} + \text{Estimate to Complete} = \$5,500 + \$5,500 = \$11,000$. This estimates an overrun of \$1,000, the same as experienced to date.

This illustrates why a RANGE of EAC values are usually generated and submitted for review, based on “best case”, “worst case”, and “most likely case” assumptions. The \$11,000 would likely be the “best case” value, while the “most likely” case would be based on many things. There is detailed discussion of this topic in a later chapter, “Performance Measurement Calculations and Estimates at Completion”.

Given the information provided in the problem, it would appear that the most likely EAC would be \$11,000.

2. In Case A, there are 64 budgeted labor hours of work remaining (ie., 2 days x 8 hours/day x 4 workers) @ \$31.25 per hour. To accomplish this in the one remaining day would require 32 of those hours to be paid as overtime, or \$46.88 per hour. Therefore, remaining labor cost would be $32 \text{ hours} \times \$31.25/\text{hour} + 32 \text{ hours} \times \$46.88/\text{hour} = \$2,500.16$, rounded to \$2,500. Remaining material cost is \$3,500, so total remaining cost would be \$6,000. That would mean an EAC of \$11,500.
3. In Case B, it would take less than 64 labor hours because of the improved productivity. Assuming the 15% improvement, and with all hours on straight time, the \$2,000 remaining labor budget should become \$1,700. The EAC for Case B would then be $\$5,500 + \$3,500 + \$1,700 = \$10,700$.