18.1

"Project Management Using Earned Value" Case Study Solution 18.1

C

Schedule Traceability

5

Schedule Traceability

SOLUTION

1. The milestones for System Requirements Review (SRR), Integrated Baseline Review (IBR), Preliminary Design Review (PDR) Engineering Prototype Available, and System Software Review (SSR) trace between the Master and Intermediate levels and between the Intermediate and Detail levels. In addition, milestones 1, 5 and 8 trace from the Intermediate to the Master Schedule, and are also on the Detail Schedule; therefore they trace from the Detail to the Intermediate Schedule.

Time spans trace within the Master Schedule; within the Intermediate Schedule and between the Master and Intermediate Schedules.

Time spans do not trace within the Detail Schedule or between the Intermediate and Detail Schedules. The Control Account start date is 15 July Year 01 but the first two tasks are scheduled to start on 1 July Year 01 on the Detail Schedule. (We do not even know if we are looking at current or baseline schedule information on the Detail Schedule).

2. The Major Interface Milestones are meaningful on the Master, Intermediate and Detail Schedules. The Program Milestones are not defined: we do not know what Program Milestones 1, 5 and 8 are. There should be a milestone dictionary describing completion/exit criteria for each milestone. In addition, we would also expect to see some control account level milestones for the other work packages on the Detail Schedule. The completion/exit criteria should be defined for these control account level milestones also. (See page 3 of solution).

3. Work progress cannot be traced without statusing the Detail Schedule and determining BCWP. There is no progress evident at the detail level; based on the control account start date, we would expect to see some progress. Progress is evident at the Intermediate Schedule level, but not at the Master Schedule level. (See page 6 of the solution for one way to status the work progress on the CAP.)

4. Work Package #5 shows 180 Requirements @ 5 hours per requirement (900 hours), while the total BCWS reflects only 750 hours (or 150 Requirements @ 5 hours per requirement).

5. a. Generate all tiers of schedules from the same schedule database so the symbology is consistent. In addition, this will yield accurate time span traces if rolled up via the WBS structure.

- b. Reflect current status at all schedule levels.
- c. Signature blocks should be on the appropriate schedules.
- d. Legends should be on all schedules.
- e. Both current and baseline schedules should be shown at all levels.

SOLUTION

(Continued)

There are other areas of consideration when reviewing a scheduling system. The following questions should be answered:

- Does the baseline support the program/project objectives?
- ♦ Is all of the scope included in the schedule?
- How will changes be controlled?

♦ If resource loaded, is the schedule achievable? Are the resources available when scheduled? Is the time phased budget consistent with the scheduled resources?

• Schedule procedures should be reviewed for completeness including verification that those responsible for the work develop the schedule.

• Does the overall system successfully address the dual reporting considerations of physical percent complete status to support earned value determination and accurate remaining duration forecasting for schedule analysis?

◆ Is the scheduling system being abused? (e.g., are directed dates being used improperly?)

These questions cannot be answered relative to this particular case study as all of the information required is not available; however, they should be answered when conducting a review on a program.

Milestone Definition Addendum

Control Account: 1040ADC Date: 7/14/01 WBS No.: 1010501 CAM: Thad Baker

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 1

Description/Completion Criteria: 1st System Software risk list update, review with functional manager and coordination with Company Program Office.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 2

Description/Completion Criteria: Complete working session with Program Office scheduler to provide network constraining relationships and probability distributions for each risk list item, root cause/analysis 1st level and development of mitigating alternative actions.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 3

Description/Completion Criteria: Review automated schedule risk outputs and modify network / distributions for quarterly report to the Program Office.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 4

Description/Completion Criteria: Complete further detail root cause/analysis (2nd/3rd level analysis), where appropriate, finalize selection of mitigating action items and review with functional manager.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 5

Description/Completion Criteria: Complete quarterly report update to risk list and risk plan for the System Software risks to the Program Office.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 6

Description/Completion Criteria: Status Program Office on progress of assigned risk plan action items, update schedule risk network logic and changes in probability due to realization of actual completions and problems and identify any new risk items.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 7

Description/Completion Criteria: Status Program Office on progress of assigned risk plan action items, update schedule risk network logic and changes in probability due to realization of actual completions and problems and identify any new risk items.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 8

Description/Completion Criteria: Complete quarterly report update to risk list and risk plan for the System Software risks to the Program Office.

Work Package No.: 003

Milestone No.: 9

Description/Completion Criteria: Status Program Office on progress of assigned risk plan action items, update schedule risk network logic and changes in probability due to realization of actual completions and problems and identify any new risk items.



Program Manager



INTERMEDIATE SCHEDULE: Console Subsystem

	5	ACCOUNT P	PLAN			PROGRAM: Artomate d'Morkstation		DATE: 7.//S/Vri	NAN. Org. G. G.	CONTROLACCOUNT TITLEND : 1040400 PREAR SYSTEM SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT CAN: THAD BAVER	UNT TITLENO.: BRK SYSTEMISC BAKER	ID4D4DC	ELOPMENT PROGRAM U	ICR: S.SMIT	10F1	.
08 S REFERENCE Schedule Refer	(1010501 (ENCE: ADA LVL1 001 RD			TOL	900	YEAR DI SEP	001	NON	380	NY	FEB	VEARD2 MAR	APR	NeV	NOF	TOTAL 12 MOS.
Eleme at of Cost () L-Labor IL-Litari	Ekme Itor Oxet (EDO) [-Labor Setb kt Leftert Oxet (EDO)	REFERENCE MILESTONES:			System 1 Regitements Regitements			In tegrated 1 Base Ine Relition		Þ	Pelminany Design Berlion	Þ	Engheerhg Probhpe Aualtaria		Softem Software Benteur	
NO RKTASK NO JBOC	MORKTASK DESCRIPTION	RETHOD	S CINIA	nr	VIC VIC	YEAR D1 SEP	oct	NOV	8	NAL	FEB	VEARD2 .	APR		NOF	TOTAL 12 MOS.
	Managementractministraction of developing system sortivare	- a	10064												¢	
		BOADEHRS BOADE4		\$1,650	\$2,153 \$2,153	\$2,5 11 \$2,5 11	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	\$1,435	35 \$2,511	220,305
BOC: L		BOUPHIC		\$1,650	\$2,153	\$2,511	\$1,43.5								T	
71	PE quiements Definition & Analysis to support SRR	s % Complete	A3G01 % 0/8 % 0/8	*# *#		392 192										
		BONEHRS		57		50										187 \$ 13.418
BOC: L		BOWPHRS		57	25.740	50										
E	Support Risk the threaton & Assessment	2 Mestre	43601		5	9 1	•	õ	o∉ ő	6						
	store	BOART RS BOART		20 \$1,435	40 \$2,370	\$2,370	\$7 13	10 \$7 15	10 10 10 10	10 12 12						140 \$ 10,047
BOC: L		BCIVIP-4		\$1,435		\$2,870	10 21 42	T	Ť	T	T		T			
-	Software Integration Testing & Software/Haidware In Entable Testing	9 월 문	A3001				_					⊲			4	
		BONDA						T	Ť			\$3,588	\$11,480	\$17,938	260 \$18,655	720 \$51,661
BOC: L		BCMPHRS BCMP4														
8	Test Planing 180 Requirements (5 km per requirement)	5 %Condete	A3001 % MB				11%	13%	13%	X.R	Ŕ	13%	Ř (
		BOMEHRS	400 %			1	502 502	100	100	190	150	100		Ī	Ī	750
		BCINE-4 BCINE-HRS				18	5,740	2/1/2	5.11.2	15,633	10,763	2,175	1,455			\$53,814
BOC: L	Deski Coste Bilititzat	BOWF	ATOR A				\$4,305									
•	near the second second second	% Complete	80% 80%			¥¥ 4	*	%1	¥1	15%	15%	14%	11%	12%	*	
		BC/NEH RS BC/NEH				59 \$4,233	12.415	536 \$24,108	336 \$24,108	709	709	664 \$47,642	664 \$47,642	600 \$43.050	550 \$39,463	4200
BOC: L		BCIVIP-H RS BCIVIP-6				59 54,233										
r_	Sombuare input-Preliminary Documentation & Release	- 56	A3C01										⊲	4		
		BONDA											70 \$5.025	55.023		140 2 10.046
BUC: I		BCIVIP-HRS BCIVIP-E												And A line		
	ļ	Anner lecel	A3001												¢	
		BCINEHRS				9 1 272	12	54 54	34 54	71	71	99 99	99 99	903 93	55 55 53 940	450
		BCWPHRS				9 1 2 1 2					t actine			2 A A		
6	Purchase of complient, research materials, DNA spectalists, etc.	s % Complete	A3001												¢	
	supporting design	BONDHRS						\$2500	55 000	55 0.00	55 00 0	53 000				0 \$20.500
BOC: M		BOWFHRS BOWFF							A00 ¹ m	A0 A ¹ m	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	000 ¹ 78				A A 1 A 2
	WONTHLY BC: AS		HOURS	100	\$ 10,763	\$14,351	300	500	500	1000	950 \$73,163	9 45' 29 \$	10 00	1000	900 \$64,575	7500
	CUM BOAS		HOURS DOLLARS	\$7,175	\$ 17,958	\$32,289		\$92,191	1,750	2,750	3,700 \$282,981	4,600	5,600 \$422,303	6,600 \$49 4,059	7,500	
PA GE TOTALS	MONTHLY EC:AP		HOURS DOLLARS	100	\$ 10,763	190 \$15,635										
	CUM BOAP			100	\$ 17,938	\$31,571										
	MONTHLY ACAP			112	\$ 13,60.0	\$17,200	197 \$ 15, 760									
1. Level of Effort	Earned Value (PA) Cooks: 1. Level of Effort 4. D/DD 7. Apportbled	LEG END:	4¢	- Start Actualy) - Complete Actual	Par Ay-Par		ž	MON	CAN:	APPROVALS:	Thad Baker			DATE: 7/14///1 =14/00/1		
2. Mice une 3.5060	o. % complete 6. Unit complete			= Detayed Actually - Reuted Pital Filled is symbol indicates completed actualy - actual	γ - Freu seu run mbolindicate s o	ompleted actuity	- actral		PROGRAM MGK.		INSING	Innu		UX#UI		—